

# DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

## 29 March 2017

## 7.30 pm

## Town Hall

Publication date: 21 March 2017

Contact

If you require further information or you would like a copy of this agenda in another format, e.g. large print, please contact Ishbel Morren in Democracy and Governance on 01923 278375 or by email to <u>legalanddemocratic@watford.gov.uk</u>.

#### Access

Access to the Town Hall after 5.15 pm is via the entrance to the Customer Service Centre from the visitors' car park.

Visitors may park in the staff car park after 4.00 p.m. This is a Pay and Display car park. From 1 April 2016 the flat rate charge is £2.00.

The Council Chamber is on the mezzanine floor of the Town Hall and a lift is available. An induction loop is available in the Council Chamber.

#### Fire /Emergency instructions

In the event of a fire alarm sounding, vacate the building immediately following the instructions given by the Democratic Services Officer.

- Do not use the lifts
- Do not stop to collect personal belongings
- Go to the assembly point at the Pond and wait for further instructions
- Do not re-enter the building until authorised to do so.

#### **Mobile Phones**

Please ensure that mobile phones are switched off or on silent before the start of the meeting.

#### Filming / Photography / Recording / Reporting

Please note: this meeting might be filmed / photographed / recorded / reported by a party other than Watford Borough Council for subsequent broadcast or publication.

If you do not wish to have your image / voice captured you should let the Chair or Democratic Services Officer know before the start of the meeting.

An audio recording may be taken at this meeting for administrative purposes only.

#### **Speaking at Development Management Committee**

Only one person will be permitted to speak on behalf of objectors and one in support of a proposal. Precedence to speak in support of the proposal will be given to the applicant or their representative.

In order to speak, a person must register before 12 noon on the day of the meeting by contacting the Democratic Services Team. The contact details are available on the front of this agenda.

If a speaker wishes the Development Management Committee to consider any documentation at the meeting, then it must be submitted to the Democratic Services Team by 12 noon on the day of the meeting.

### **Committee Membership**

Councillor R Martins (Chair) Councillor S Johnson (Vice-Chair) Councillors D Barks, S Bashir, N Bell, J Maestas, I Sharpe and M Watkin

### Agenda

#### Part A – Open to the Public

#### 1. Apologies for absence/Committee membership

- 2. Disclosure of interests (if any)
- 3. Minutes

The minutes of the Development Management Committee held on 8 March 2017 to be submitted and signed.

Copies of the minutes of this meeting are usually available seven working days following the meeting. All minutes are available on the Council's <u>website</u>.

#### CONDUCT OF THE MEETING

The Committee to take items in the following order:

- 1. All items where people wish to speak to the Committee and have registered to do so by telephoning the Democratic Services Team.
- 2. Any remaining items that the Committee agrees can be determined without further debate.
- 3. Those applications where the Committee wishes to discuss matters in detail.
- 4. 14/00511/OUTM Watford General Hospital Site and Surrounding Land situated between Vicarage Road, Cardiff Road, Wiggenhall Road and Dalton Way/Oxhey Park and Riverside Park (Pages 5 - 18)

Deed of variation to s106 agreement accompanying the planning application for the Watford Health Campus

#### 5. Review of Performance October 2015 to December 2016 (Pages 19 - 22)

Report of the Development Management Section Head

#### PART A

#### Report of: Head of Development Management

Date of committee:	29 <sup>th</sup> March 2017
Site address:	Watford General Hospital Site and Surrounding Land situated between Vicarage Road, Cardiff Road, Wiggenhall Road and Dalton Way/Oxhey Park and Riverside Park
Reference Number:	14/00511/OUTM
Description of Development:	Deed of Variation to s106 Agreement that Accompanied the Planning Application for the Watford Health Campus Masterplan to amend the trigger point for the Works to the Lower High Street/Dalton Way Junction set out within Schedule 2, Paragraph 1.1(b) (iv)
Applicant:	Watford Health Campus LLP
Ward:	Oxhey/Vicarage/Central

#### **1.0** Site and Surroundings

- 1.1 The application site for the Health Campus Masterplan has an area of 26.5 hectares and is located predominantly within Vicarage ward in West Watford. It comprises a number of different sites including Watford General Hospital, the former Cardiff Road Industrial Estate, the former Willow Lane allotment site, the former Carriage Sheds site off Wiggenhall Road (now Trade City Watford) and the Oxhey Park Playing Fields. Areas adjoining the site to the west, north and east are predominantly residential, comprising the Victorian terraced housing of West Watford, although immediately abutting the site to the east is Vicarage Road Stadium and Farm Terrace allotments. To the south is the open public space of Riverside Park and Oxhey Park.
- 1.2 For the purposes of this report, the area in question is the southern junction of Lower High Street and the Dalton Way gyratory road system, as shown in Appendix 1
- 1.3 No part of the site is subject to either a Local or Statutory Listing. The site is not located within a Conservation Area and there are no Tree Preservation Orders on the site. The surrounding area is subject to a Controlled Parking Zone.

#### 2.0 Proposed Development

- 2.1 A Resolution to Grant Conditional Outline Planning Permission for the Watford Health Campus Masterplan was agreed by the Council's Development Control Committee on 18<sup>th</sup> September 2014. This was subject to the completion of a s. 106 planning obligation and to the imposition of appropriate conditions. The Committee also resolved that the final terms of the planning obligation and the planning conditions be determined by the Committee. The Heads of Terms for the s. 106 planning obligation and the proposed conditions to be applied to the Planning Permission were agreed by Committee Members on 16<sup>th</sup> December 2014 and outline planning permission was granted on 6<sup>th</sup> January 2015.
- The outline planning permission is accompanied by a s. 106 planning Agreement that was signed on 6<sup>th</sup> January 2015. Within the Agreement, Schedule 2, Paragraph 1.1 (b) set out the following requirements:

(b) not to Occupy or suffer or permit Occupation of any part of the area of Business Area South until a certificate of completion has been issued by the Director in respect of the following works required pursuant to an agreement entered into in accordance with Section 278 of the Highways Act 1980.

- Junction improvement scheme at Lammas Road/Wiggenhall Road/Fishers Industrial Estate junction to improve safety and pedestrian connectivity whilst integrating with the new Link Road/Access Road junction
- (ii) New signal controlled junction with restricted movements at the site access/Link Road/Wiggenhall Road junction
- (iii) New junction at the eastern end of the Link Road with Dalton Way
- (iv) Lane reallocation and realignment within the highway boundary at the Lower high Street/Dalton Way (south) junction to provide two right hand lanes from Lower High Street onto Dalton Way with the provision of pedestrian/cycle facilities at the junction of Lower High Street and Dalton Way
- 2.3 It should be noted by Members that the works relating to Points (i) to (iii) above were completed as part of the Highway Infrastructure Works for the construction of Thomas Sawyer Way. Point (iv) is the subject of this report.

- 2.4 For the purposes of this report, it is proposed that Schedule 2 Paragraph 1.1 of the Agreement shall be varied as follows:
  - a) Paragraph 1.1(b)(iv) shall be deleted in its entirety
  - b) The following new Paragraph 1.1(c) shall be inserted:

"to complete the works to provide the land reallocation and realignment within the highway boundary at the Lower high Street/Dalton Way (south) junction to provide two right hand lanes from Lower High Street and Dalton Way gyratory in accordance with drawing number H1112-201 revision G (or any further plan agreed by Hertfordshire County Council and the Watford Health Campus Partnership) such works to be completed within 6 (six) months of the date relevant agreement to undertake these works made pursuant to section 278 of the Highways Act 1980 is entered into or such other timescale agreed in writing between the parties to this Agreement or by such date which is agreed pursuant to the terms the relevant section 278 agreement itself"

2.5 As Members approved the recommendation for the granting of planning permission at Development Control Committee subject to the completion of a s106 Planning Agreement, it was considered necessary to bring the proposed Deed of Variation back to Committee to allow Members to again make the decision on this.

#### 3.0 Relevant Planning History

**14/00511/OUTM** – Hybrid planning application for the development of a mixed use health campus accessed from the approved Access Road comprising:

- Outline element for the construction of new hospital/healthcare accommodation, together with business, retail, office, food and drink, hotel and leisure uses and up to 681 new dwellings, safeguarding of land for the expansion of Laurance Haines primary school, new public spaces, play space and landscaping, associated car parking, access roads, footways and cycleways.
- 2. Detailed element (Business Area South) for the construction of three industrial units together with associated vehicle and cycle parking, site landscaping and the creation of a new wildlife area

Outline Planning Permission Granted – 6<sup>th</sup> January 2015

#### 4.0 Planning Policies

#### 4.1 **Development Plan**

In accordance with s.38 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, the Development Plan for Watford comprises:

- (a) Watford Local Plan Core Strategy 2006-31;
- (b) the continuing "saved" policies of the *Watford District Plan 2000*;
- (c) the Hertfordshire Waste Core Strategy and Development Management Policies Document 2011-2026; and
- (d) the Hertfordshire Minerals Local Plan Review 2002-2016.
- 4.2 The Watford Local Plan Core Strategy 2006-31 was adopted in January 2013. The Core Strategy policies, together with the "saved policies" of the Watford District Plan 2000 (adopted December 2003), constitute the "development plan" policies which, together with any relevant policies from the County Council's Waste Core Strategy and the Minerals Local Plan, must be afforded considerable weight in decision making on planning applications. The following policies are relevant to this application.

#### 4.3 Watford Local Plan Core Strategy 2006-31

WBC1 Presumption in favour of Sustainable Development

- SS1 Spatial Strategy
- SPA3 Health Campus
- SD1 Sustainable Design
- HS1 Housing Supply and Residential Site Selection
- T2 Location of New Development
- T3 Improving Accessibility
- T4 Transport Assessments
- T5 Providing New Infrastructure
- INF1 Infrastructure Delivery and Planning Obligations

#### 4.4 Watford District Plan 2000 (saved policies)

- T21 Access and Servicing
- T22 Car Parking Standards
- 4.5 Hertfordshire Waste Core Strategy and Development Management Policies Document 2011-2026
  - 1A Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development
  - 12 Sustainable Design, Construction and Demolition

4.6 **Hertfordshire Minerals Local Plan Review 2002-2016** No relevant policies.

#### 4.7 Supplementary Planning Documents

The following Supplementary Planning Documents are relevant to the determination of this application, and must be taken into account as a material planning consideration.

#### 4.7.1 Residential Design Guide (RDG)

A revised Watford Residential Design Guide was adopted as a Supplementary Planning Document by Watford Borough Council's Cabinet on 23rd July 2014 following public consultation between 4th November and 16th December 2013. This supersedes the Residential Design Guides: Volume 1: Building New Homes & Volume 2: Extending Your Home (2008) and Supplementary Planning Guidance 6 (SPG6): Internal Space Standards (2004). An amended version was adopted by the Council in August 2016. The amendment was required to bring the internal space standards in line with the nationally described standard. The changes were consulted on between 15th June and 15th July 2016 and approved on 23rd August 2016. New residential development and extensions to existing properties are expected to comply with the Residential Design Guide.

#### 4.7.2 Watford Character of Area Study

The Watford Character of Area Study was adopted in December 2011. It is a spatial study of the Borough based on broad historical character types. The study sets out the characteristics of each individual character area in the Borough, including green spaces. It is capable of constituting a material consideration in the determination of relevant planning applications.

#### 4.8 **National Planning Policy Framework**

The National Planning Policy Framework sets out the Government's planning policies for England. The following provisions are relevant to the determination of this application, and must be taken into account as a material planning consideration:

Achieving sustainable development
The presumption in favour of sustainable development
Core planning principles
Section 1 Building a strong, competitive economy
Section 4 Promoting sustainable transport
Section 6 Delivering a wide choice of high quality homes

Section 7 Requiring good design

Section 10 Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change

Section 11 Conserving and enhancing the natural environment

Section 12 Conserving and enhancing the historic environment Decision taking

#### 5.0 Consultations

5.1 Site Notices

Not required

#### 5.2 Press Notice

Not required

#### 5.3 **Neighbour consultations**

Not required

#### 5.4 **Technical Consultations**

5.5 Hertfordshire County Council Highways

The change as outlined in the letter from the Watford Health Campus Partnership to HCC's Chief legal Officer dated 6<sup>th</sup> March 2017 has been agreed in principle by HCC Highways as a pragmatic solution to the problems of delivering off-site highway improvement associated with the Health Campus project and linked to opening of the first phase of development on the Campus site - Business Zone South.

#### 6.0 Appraisal

#### 6.1 Main issues

The main issue to be considered in this report is:

(a) The need for delaying the trigger point for the implementation of the works

- 6.2 The Transport Assessment undertaken by Aecom that accompanied the Hybrid masterplan planning application considered the traffic impacts of the development, along with proposed mitigation and improvements to the local highway network where required. It should be noted that the works to the Lower High Street/Dalton Way Junction were originally proposed within the original Health Campus application from 2007.
- 6.3 The current junction arrangement at this location provides one lane heading southbound onto the Dalton Way gyratory from Lower High Street, one lane heading north bound onto the Dalton Way gyratory from Lower High Street and one straight ahead lane from Lower High Street towards Bushey Arches
- 6.4 The proposed arrangements will introduce two lanes heading southbound onto the Dalton Way gyratory from Lower High Street. The traffic lights for the traffic on Lower High Street heading towards Bushey Arches are proposed to be removed, allowing a continual traffic flow. Traffic lights will continue to alternate the flow onto the Dalton Way gyratory between the northbound and southbound directions.
- 6.5 Pedestrians and cycles are proposed to be removed from the existing traffic island which is to be reduced in size and alternative provision provided for their safe crossing.
- 6.6 An indicative layout proposal is shown in Appendix 2
- 6.7 The existing s. 106 planning agreement restricts the occupation of the new industrial units at Business Zone South (Trade City Watford) until the works shown in Appendix 2 are completed. The purpose of this Report is to obtain Member approval not on the merits of the proposed scheme, but on the principle of the timing of the works, to allow any occupation of Business Zone South before the works are completed.
- 6.8 An original scheme for the improvement works at this junction was submitted with the hybrid planning application. On closer examination with HCC, it was considered that there was insufficient width on the two lanes proposed to lead onto the gyratory heading northbound from Lower High Street. Discussions continued to be held with HCC to come to a resolution. A further scheme was submitted in Autumn 2016 which was initially deemed as acceptable by the Highways Technical Team. However, when further assessment was undertaken as part of the Safety Audit, concerns were raised over pedestrian safety and the

Health Campus Partnership were asked to look at an alternative scheme. A revised scheme (as shown above) has received indicative approval from the Highways Technical Team and is being fast tracked by the Safety Audit Team to ensure approval and deliverability as soon as is practically possible.

- 6.9 It is evident therefore that both Hertfordshire County Council Highways and the Health Campus Partnership have been working together closely and pro-actively for a long time to develop a viable scheme here, despite the delays in getting an approved scheme.
- 6.10 Given the extent of discussions that have taken place to date with Herts County Council Highways and the Health Campus Partnership and the progress made, Herts County Council Highways have agreed that they would accept a delay on the trigger point for the implementation of these works as the works will not be able to be completed by June 2017 when Trade City Watford is expected to be occupied and open. Instead, it has been agreed with Herts County Council Highways that the works are to be completed within six months of the completion of the s.278 of the Highways Act which is expected to be finalised shortly.
- 6.11 Junction improvements at this location have been required for a long time and it is considered that the latest proposal for the works to this junction will provide the benefits to the local highway network without adversely affecting users of the highway or pedestrians. Whilst these will be delivered later than originally expected, the implementation of these works will ensure improvements to traffic flows in this part of the town.
- 6.12 There may be a small short term increase in traffic at this junction following the opening of Trade City Watford as a result of the extra traffic associated with this development. However this will be for a temporary period only and the junction works proposed will address this and provide improved traffic flows in this part of the town once completed.

#### 7.0 Conclusion

7.1 The Health Campus Partnership and Hertfordshire County Council Highways have been working together to come to an agreement on a scheme for this junction that will improve traffic flows and provide greater capacity for the junction. A number of earlier schemes have previously been explored but none of these were considered to satisfy all the safety requirements.

- 7.2 In accordance with the s. 106 planning agreement associated with the hybrid masterplan application for the Health Campus, the junction works are currently expected to be implemented prior to the occupation of Trade City Watford. However, due to delays in designing a scheme that satisfied all the Hertfordshire Highways Safety Reviews, this is now unlikely to be possible.
- 7.3 A revised scheme has now been drawn up and this would appear to address all concerns raised previously. However due to time constraints, this will not be able to be completed by the opening of Trade City Watford in June and instead is proposed to be implemented within six months of the signing of the s. 278 Agreement which is expected imminently.
- 7.4 There will therefore be a small increase in traffic at this junction on a temporary basis from the opening of Trade City Watford until the completion of the proposed works. This will only be short term and the junction improvement works proposed here will ultimately increase capacity and improve traffic flows at this junction once complete.

#### 8.0 Human Rights Implications

8.1 The Local Planning Authority is justified in interfering with the applicant's human rights in order to alleviate any adverse effect on adjoining properties and their occupiers and on general public amenity. With regard to any infringement of third party human rights, these are not considered to be of such a nature and degree as to override the human rights of the applicant and therefore warrant refusal of planning permission.

#### 9.0 Recommendation

9.1 **(A)** That, a Deed of Variation to the existing s106 Planning Obligation be entered into to:

#### Section 106 Heads of Terms

That Schedule 2 Paragraph 1.1 of the Agreement shall be varied as follows:


a) Paragraph 1.1(b)(iv) shall be deleted in its entirety

b) The following new Paragraph 1.1(c) shall be inserted:

"to complete the works to provide the land reallocation and realignment within the highway boundary at the Lower high Street/Dalton Way (south) junction to provide two right hand lanes from Lower High Street and Dalton Way gyratory in accordance with a plan to be agreed by Hertfordshire County Council and the Watford Health Campus Partnership LLP ) such works to be completed within 6 (six) months of the date relevant agreement to undertake these works made pursuant to section 278 of the Highways Act 1980 is entered into or such other timescale agreed in writing between the parties to this Agreement or by such date which is agreed pursuant to the terms the relevant section 278 agreement itself"

Officer:	Neil Farnsworth Project Manager, Watford Health Campus
Email:	neil.farnsworth@watford.gov.uk
Tel:	01923 278246





#### PART A

Report to:	Development Management Committee
Date of meeting:	29 March 2017
Report of:	Development Management Section Head
Title:	Review of Performance October 2015 to December 2016 (For Information Planning: Development Management)

#### Summary

- This report provides information on the performance of Development Management with regard to the number of planning applications determined and appeals decided between October 2015 and September 2016. Performance figures for October 2016 – December 2016 are also provided.
- 2. The performance figures for Watford are provided in the table below alongside our internal target. All three targets are being exceeded, with the majority of applications being approved, which indicates that planning officers are working with applicants and agents in a positive manner and are performing well.
- The speed of planning decisions is now being monitored by the DCLG for the purposes of designating poor performing authorities. The Council is currently considered to be highly performing and is substantially exceeding the prescribed standards.

October 2015 – Se	ptember 2016			
Туре	Target % in agreed time	Achieved % in agreed time	No. Approved	% Approved
Majors	60	95	17	77
Minors	65	96	165	71
Others	85	97	406	77

#### Performance Oct 2015 to Dec 2016

Quarter October				
– December 2016				
Туре	Target % in	Achieved % in	No. Approved	% Approved
	agreed time	agreed time		
Majors	60	100	1	100
Minors	65	94	31	67
Others	85	93	102	85

- 4. The table below provides details of the appeal decisions on planning applications. The majority of applications are dismissed at appeal, which is a good indication that planning officers are applying the relevant policies appropriately. The overall appeal performance of 33% appeals upheld (allowed) is very good as the historical national target was 34% of upheld appeals.
- 5. On delegated decisions, the Authority's decisions are upheld slightly more often with only 27% of appeals being allowed. No appeals arising from decisions of the Development Management Committee have been upheld, however this must be noted in the context that all such decisions were contrary to the officers' recommendations.
- 6. The Department for Communities and Local Government sets quality criteria comparing the number of upheld appeals against total decisions in the same period. This is not based on the same period as this report; however it is useful to consider performance across the report period for purposes of ongoing monitoring. The criterion for poor performance is 10% for Major and Non-Major applications. For the period considered in this report the figure is 5.5% for Major and 1.8% for Non-Major applications. It should be noted that the quality measure for Major applications is particularly sensitive to appeal decisions due to the low total number of such applications.

		Application	Decision Type	Overturn	<b>Appeal Decision</b>
	1	14/01773/FUL	Delegated	N/A	Allowed
	2	15/00644/ADV	Delegated	N/A	Allowed
	3	15/00649/FUL	Delegated	N/A	Allowed
Oct-Dec 2015	4	15/00413/FULM	Committee	Yes	Allowed
OCI-Dec 2015	5	15/00350/FULH	Delegated	N/A	Dismissed
	6	15/00759/FULH	Delegated	N/A	Dismissed
	7	15/00066/FUL	Delegated	N/A	Dismissed
	8	14/01197/FUL	Delegated	N/A	Dismissed

#### Appeal Decisions Received within Oct 2015 to Dec 2016

915/00141/FULDelegatedN /AAllowed1015/00917/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1115/00808/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed1214/01833/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed1315/01290/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1415/00815/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1415/01358/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1515/01358/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1615/01244/FULDelegatedN /AAllowed1715/01244/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1815/01208/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1915/01321/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2015/01214/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2115/01524/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed2215/01573/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed2315/01618/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed
Jan-Mar 2016115/00808/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed1214/01833/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed1315/01290/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1415/00815/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1515/01358/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1615/01244/FULDelegatedN /AAllowed1715/01447/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1815/01208/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2015/01214/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2115/01524/FULLDelegatedN /ADismissed2215/01573/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed
Jan-Mar 20161214/01833/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed1315/01290/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1415/00815/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1515/01358/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1615/01244/FULDelegatedN /AAllowed1715/01447/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1815/01208/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1915/01321/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2015/01214/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2115/01524/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed2215/01573/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed
1315/01290/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1415/00815/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1515/01358/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1615/01244/FULDelegatedN /AAllowed1715/01447/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1815/01208/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1915/01321/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2015/01214/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2115/01524/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed2215/01573/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed
1415/00815/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1515/01358/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1615/01244/FULDelegatedN /AAllowed1715/01447/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1815/01208/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1915/01321/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2015/01214/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2115/01524/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed2215/01573/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed
1515/01358/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed1615/01244/FULDelegatedN /AAllowed1715/01447/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1815/01208/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1915/01321/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2015/01214/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2115/01524/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed2215/01573/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed
1615/01244/FULDelegatedN /AAllowed1715/01447/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1815/01208/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1915/01321/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2015/01214/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2115/01524/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed2215/01573/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed
1715/01447/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1815/01208/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1915/01321/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2015/01214/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2115/01524/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed2215/01573/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed
1815/01208/FULCommitteeYesAllowed1915/01321/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2015/01214/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2115/01524/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed2215/01573/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed
Apr–Jun 20161915/01321/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2015/01214/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2115/01524/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed2215/01573/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed
Apr–Jun 20162015/01214/FULDelegatedN /ADismissed2115/01524/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed2215/01573/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed
Apr–Jun 20162115/01524/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed2215/01573/FULHDelegatedN /ADismissed
22 15/01573/FULH Delegated N/A Dismissed
23 15/01618/FULH Delegated N/A Dismissed
24 15/01430/FULH Delegated N/A Dismissed
25 15/01137/OPD Delegated N/A Dismissed
26 15/01563/FUL Delegated N/A Dismissed
27 15/01613/FULH Delegated N/A Allowed
28 16/00080/FULH Delegated N/A Allowed
29 15/01139/FUL Delegated N/A Allowed
July See 2016 30 16/00517/FULH Delegated N/A Allowed
July-Sep 2016 31 16/0002/FULH Delegated N/A Dismissed
32 16/00022/FULH Delegated N/A Dismissed
33 16/00082/FULH Delegated N/A Dismissed
34 15/01340/FUL Delegated N/A Dismissed
35 16/00291/FULH Delegated N/A Allowed
36 16/00018/FUL Committee Yes Allowed
37 16/00540/FULH Delegated N/A Allowed
38 16/00086/TPO Delegated N/A Dismissed
Oct-Dec 2016 39 16/00753/FULH Delegated N/A Dismissed
40 16/00573/FUL Delegated N/A Dismissed
41 16/00796/FULH Delegated N/A Dismissed
42 15/01785/FUL Delegated N/A Dismissed

#### **Recommendation:**

That the Committee note the performance of Development Management planning.

Case Officer: Adrien Waite Email: adrien.waite@watford.gov.uk Tel: 01923 278283